

Securing Internet Routing

RPKI & Route Origin Validation

ThaiNOG, 8 May 2019

Tashi Phuntsho (tashi@apnic.net)
Senior Network Janitor/Technical Trainer

Recent - Fat-finger/Hijacks/Leaks



- Google prefix leaks – **Nov 2018**
 - ❑ Google services (G-Suite, Google search and Google analytics) affected by the leak
 - Traffic dropped at AS4809 (China Telecom)
 - ~ 74mins



Following

looking into BGP leak incident involving @google prefixes, AS37282 out of Nigeria and China Telecom.

3:40 AM - 13 Nov 2018

54 Retweets 48 Likes



ThousandEyes
@thousandeyes

Following

BREAKING: Potential hijack underway. ThousandEyes detected intermittent availability issues to Google services from some locations. Traffic to certain Google destinations appears to be routed through an ISP in Russia & black-holed at a China Telecom gateway router.



2:57 AM - 13 Nov 2018


609 Retweets 525 Likes



Recent - Fat-finger/Hijacks/Leaks



- Google prefix leaks (contd...)
 - How did it happen?
 - AS37282 (MainOne) leaked Google prefixes to AS4809 (CT) at IXPN, who leaked it to other transit providers like AS20485 (TransTelecom)



Recent - Fat-finger/Hijacks/Leaks




- Amazon (AS16509) Route53 hijack – **April 2018**
 - AS10279 (eNET) originated more specifics (/24s) of Amazon Route53's prefix (205.251.192.0/21)
205.251.192.0/24 205.251.199.0/24
<https://ip-ranges.amazonaws.com/ip-ranges.json>
 - Its peers, like AS6939 (HE), shared these routes with 100s of their own peers...
 - The motive?
 - During the period, DNS servers in the hijacked range only responded to queries for myetherwallet.com
 - Responded with addresses associated with AS41995/AS48693

Recent - Fat-finger/Hijacks/Leaks



- Route53 hijack (contd...)
 - ❑ Resolvers querying any Route53 managed names, would ask the authoritative servers controlled through the BGP hijack
 - *Possibly, used an automated cert issuer to get a cert for myetherwallet.com*
 - ❑ use THEIR crypto to end-users to see everything (including passwords)



<https://blog.cloudflare.com/bgp-leaks-and-crypto-currencies>

Recent - Fat-finger/Hijacks/Leaks



- ~~Bharti (AS9498) originates 103.0.0.0/10 - Dec 2017~~
 - ~~▫ ~ 2 days~~
 - ~~▫ No damage done – more than 8K specific routes!~~
- Google brings down Internet in Japan - **Aug 2017**
 - ~ 24 hours)
 - Google (AS15169) leaked **>130K** prefixes to Verizon (AS701) – in Chicago
 - Normally ~ 50 prefixes
 - ~25K of those were NTT OCN's (AS4713) more specifics
 - which was leaked onwards to KDDI and IIJ (and accepted)
 - Everyone who received the leaked more specifics, preferred the Verizon-Google path to reach NTT OCN!

Recent - Fat-finger/Hijacks/Leaks



- Google leak (contd...)

trace from Tokyo, Japan to Inuyama, Japan at 04:44 Aug 24, 2017

1	*				
2	202.177.203.50	xe-0-0-0.gw401.ty2.ap.equinix.com	Tokyo	Japan	0.717
3	183.177.32.143	xe-1-1-1.gw402.ty1.ap.equinix.com	Tokyo	Japan	0.755
4	143.90.232.25	25.143090232.odn.ne.jp	Tokyo	Japan	1.411
5	143.90.161.73		Tokyo	Japan	2.757
6	143.90.47.14	ST0rs-01Te0-1-0-1.nw.odn.ad.jp	Tokyo	Japan	3.552
7	210.252.167.230	230.210252167.odn.ne.jp	Tokyo	Japan	4.094
8	*				
9	60.37.54.105	OCN (AS4713) CIDR BLOCK 70	Tokyo	Japan	4.088
10	125.170.97.85	OCN (AS4713) CIDR BLOCK 77		Japan	4.017
11	125.170.97.74	OCN (AS4713) CIDR BLOCK 77	Osaka-shi	Japan	12.263
12	153.149.219.22	OCN (AS4713) CIDR BLOCK 93	Osaka-shi	Japan	12.362
13	153.146.148.18	OCN (AS4713) CIDR BLOCK 93	Tokyo	Japan	14.45
14	60.37.32.250	OCN (AS4713) CIDR BLOCK 70		Japan	13.116
15	118.23.141.202	OCN (AS4713) CIDR BLOCK 86		Japan	13.332
16	118.23.142.99	OCN (AS4713) CIDR BLOCK 86		Japan	22.307
17	211.11.83.160	OCN (AS4713) CIDR BLOCK 23	Inuyama	Japan	15.672

Before leak (JP->JP)

After leak
(JP->JP)

trace from Tokyo, Japan to Inuyama, Japan at 03:28 Aug 25, 2017

1	*				
2	183.177.32.145	Equinix Asia Pacific	Tokyo	Japan	0.249
3	210.130.154.37	IIJ IPv4 BLOCK (AS2497)	Tokyo	Japan	0.618
4	58.138.102.109	tky001bb11.IIJ.Net	Tokyo	Japan	0.877
5	58.138.88.86	sjc002bb12.IIJ.Net	San Jose	United States	97.797
6	152.179.48.117	TenGigE0-3-0-8.GW6.SJC7.ALTER.NET	San Jose	United States	97.869
7	*				
8	152.179.105.110	google-gw.customer.alter.net	Chicago	United States	337.19
9	108.170.243.197	Google Inc.	Chicago	United States	246.325
10	*				
11	209.85.241.43	Google Inc.		United States	256.188
12	72.14.238.38	Google Inc.	Vancouver	Canada	247.849
13	209.85.245.110	Google Inc.	Vancouver	Canada	249.291
14	*				
15	108.170.242.138	Google Inc.	Tokyo	Japan	246.267
16	211.0.193.21	OCN (AS4713) CIDR BLOCK 21	Tokyo	Japan	246.351
17	122.1.245.65	OCN (AS4713) CIDR BLOCK 81	Tokyo	Japan	246.426
18	*				
19	153.149.218.10	OCN (AS4713) CIDR BLOCK 93	Osaka-shi	Japan	256.027
20	125.170.96.38	OCN (AS4713) CIDR BLOCK 77		Japan	255.683
21	*				
22	60.37.32.250	OCN (AS4713) CIDR BLOCK 70		Japan	254.989
23	118.23.141.202	OCN (AS4713) CIDR BLOCK 86		Japan	254.526
24	*				
25	211.11.83.160	OCN (AS4713) CIDR BLOCK 23	Inuyama	Japan	256.212

trace from London, England to Nürnberg, Germany at 03:30 Aug 25, 2017

1	*				
2	195.66.248.190	fe0-2.tr2.linx.net	London	United Kingdom	0.327
3	195.66.249.10	ge0-2-502.tr5.linx.net	London	United Kingdom	0.441
4	195.66.249.13	ge0-2-501.tr4.linx.net	London	United Kingdom	0.477
5	195.66.248.10	uunet-uk-transit.thn.linx.net	London	United Kingdom	0.507
6	158.43.193.245	POS0-0.CR2.LND6.ALTER.NET	London	United Kingdom	0.497
7	140.222.239.41	0.xe-0-0-0.IL1.NYC50.ALTER.NET	New York	United States	108.146
8	146.188.4.197	xe-0-0-1.IL1.NYC41.ALTER.NET	New York	United States	75.719
9	140.222.234.221	0.et-10-1-0.GW7.CHI13.ALTER.NET	Chicago	United States	94.793
10	152.179.105.110	google-gw.customer.alter.net	Chicago	United States	224.352
11	*				
12	216.239.40.189	Google Inc.	Northlake	United States	202.193
13	216.239.58.255	Google Inc.			203.995
14	216.239.58.12	Google Inc.			207.026
15	209.85.253.184	Google Inc.	Luxembourg	Luxembourg	212.944
16	209.85.252.215	Google Inc.			213.112
17	108.170.252.71	Google Inc.			213.265
18	72.14.222.53	Google Inc.		Germany	212.061
19	188.111.165.169	Vodafone GmbH		Germany	227.077
20	178.7.128.112	Vodafone D2 GmbH	Nürnberg	Germany	228.226

<https://dyn.com/blog/large-bgp-leak-by-google-disrupts-internet-in-japan/>

Fat-finger/Hijacks/Leaks



- YouTube (AS36561) Incident - **Feb 2008**
 - ~ 2 hours
 - AS17557 (PT) announced 208.65.153.0/24 (208.65.152.0/22)
 - Propagated by AS3491 (PCCW)

Why do we keep seeing these?



- As always, there is no **E**-bit (**evil!**)
 - A bad routing update does not identify itself as BAD
 - All we can do is identify GOOD updates
 - But how do we identify what is GOOD???

Why should we worry?



- Because it's just so easy to do bad in routing!



By Source (WP:N FCC#4), Fair use,
<https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?curid=42515224>


How do we address these?




- **Filtering!**

- Filters with your peers, upstream(s) and customers
 - Prefix filters
 - Prefix limit
 - AS-PATH filters
 - AS-PATH limit
 - RFC 8212 – BGP default reject or something similar

Current practice





Tools & Techniques



- Look up **whois**
 - verify holder of a resource

```
tashi@tashi ~-> whois -h whois.apnic.net 202.125.96.0
% [whois.apnic.net]
% Whois data copyright terms    http://www.apnic.net/db/dbcopyright.html

% Information related to '202.125.96.0 - 202.125.96.255'

% Abuse contact for '202.125.96.0 - 202.125.96.255' is 'training@apnic.net'

inetnum:        202.125.96.0 - 202.125.96.255
netname:        APNICTRAINING-AP
descr:          Prefix for APNICTRAINING LAB DC
country:        AU
admin-c:        AT480-AP
tech-c:         AT480-AP
status:         ALLOCATED NON-PORTABLE
mnt-by:         MAINT-AU-APNICTRAINING
mnt-irt:        IRT-APNICTRAINING-AU
last-modified:  2016-06-17T00:17:28Z
source:         APNIC

irt:            IRT-APNICTRAINING-AU
address:        6 Cordelia Street
address:        South Brisbane
address:        QLD 4101
e-mail:         training@apnic.net
abuse-mailbox:  training@apnic.net
admin-c:        AT480-AP
tech-c:         AT480-AP
auth:           # Filtered
mnt-by:         MAINT-AU-APNICTRAINING
last-modified:  2013-10-31T11:01:10Z
source:         APNIC
```

```
role:           APNIC Training
address:        6 Cordelia Street
address:        South Brisbane
address:        QLD 4101
country:        AU
phone:          +61 7 3858 3100
fax-no:         +61 7 3858 3199
e-mail:         training@apnic.net
admin-c:        JW3997-AP
tech-c:         JW3997-AP
nic-hdl:        AT480-AP
mnt-by:         MAINT-AU-APNICTRAINING
last-modified:  2017-08-22T04:59:14Z
source:         APNIC
```

```
% Information related to '202.125.96.0/24AS131107'

route:          202.125.96.0/24
descr:          Prefix for APNICTRAINING LAB DC
origin:         AS131107
mnt-by:         MAINT-AU-APNICTRAINING
country:        AU
last-modified:  2016-06-16T23:23:00Z
source:         APNIC
```


Tools & Techniques



- Ask for a **Letter of Authority**
 - Absolve from any liabilities



Tools & Techniques



- Look up (or ask to enter) details in **internet routing registries** (IRR)
 - describes route origination and inter-AS routing policies

```
tashi@tashi ~-> whois -h whois.radb.net AS17660
aut-num:        AS17660
as-name:        BT-Bhutan
descr:          Divinetworks for BT
admin-c:        DUMY-RIPE
tech-c:         DUMY-RIPE
status:         OTHER
mnt-by:         YP67641-MNT
mnt-by:         ES6436-RIPE
created:        2012-11-29T10:31:33Z
last-modified:  2018-09-04T15:26:24Z
source:         RIPE-NONAUTH
remarks:        *****
remarks:        * THIS OBJECT IS MODIFIED
remarks:        * Please note that all data that is generally regarded as personal
remarks:        * data has been removed from this object.
remarks:        * To view the original object, please query the RIPE Database at:
remarks:        * http://www.ripe.net/whois
remarks:        *****

aut-num:        AS17660
as-name:        DRUKNET-AS
descr:          DrukNet ISP
descr:          Bhutan Telecom
descr:          Thimphu
country:        BT
org:            ORG-BTL2-AP
import:         from AS6461    action pref=100;    accept ANY
export:         to AS6461      announce AS-DRUKNET-TRANSIT
import:         from AS2914    action pref=150;    accept ANY
export:         to AS2914      announce AS-DRUKNET-TRANSIT
import:         from AS6453    action pref=100;    accept ANY
export:         to AS6453      announce AS-DRUKNET-TRANSIT
```


Tools & Techniques



- IRR
 - ❑ *Helps auto generate network (prefix/as-path) filters using RPSL tools*
 - Filter out route advertisements not described in the registry

```
tashi@tashi ~-> bgpq3 -A1 PEER-v4IN AS17660
no ip prefix-list PEER-v4IN
ip prefix-list PEER-v4IN permit 45.64.248.0/22
ip prefix-list PEER-v4IN permit 103.7.252.0/22
ip prefix-list PEER-v4IN permit 103.7.254.0/23
ip prefix-list PEER-v4IN permit 103.245.240.0/22
ip prefix-list PEER-v4IN permit 103.245.242.0/23
ip prefix-list PEER-v4IN permit 119.2.96.0/19
ip prefix-list PEER-v4IN permit 119.2.96.0/20
ip prefix-list PEER-v4IN permit 202.89.24.0/21
ip prefix-list PEER-v4IN permit 202.144.128.0/19
ip prefix-list PEER-v4IN permit 202.144.128.0/23
ip prefix-list PEER-v4IN permit 202.144.144.0/20
ip prefix-list PEER-v4IN permit 202.144.148.0/22
tashi@tashi ~-> bgpq3 -6A1 PEER-v6IN AS17660
no ipv6 prefix-list PEER-v6IN
ipv6 prefix-list PEER-v6IN permit 2405:d000::/32
ipv6 prefix-list PEER-v6IN permit 2405:d000:7000::/36
```

```
tashi@tashi ~-> bgpq3 -Ab1 PEER-v4IN AS17660
PEER-v4IN = [
    45.64.248.0/22,
    103.7.252.0/22,
    103.7.254.0/23,
    103.245.240.0/22,
    103.245.242.0/23,
    119.2.96.0/19,
    119.2.96.0/20,
    202.89.24.0/21,
    202.144.128.0/19,
    202.144.128.0/23,
    202.144.144.0/20,
    202.144.148.0/22
];
tashi@tashi ~-> bgpq3 -6Ab1 PEER-v6IN AS17660
PEER-v6IN = [
    2405:d000::/32,
    2405:d000:7000::/36
];
```

```
tashi@tashi ~-> bgpq3 -f 38195 -lSUPERLOOP-IN AS-SUPERLOOP
no ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_38195)*$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(681|4647|4749|4785)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(4846|4858|7477|7578)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(7585|7604|7628|7631)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(7699|9290|9297|9336)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(9499|9544|9549|10143)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(10145|11031|12041|15133)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(15967|17462|17498|17766)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(17829|17907|17991|18000)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(18110|18201|18292|23156)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(23456|23677|23858|23935)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(24007|24065|24093|24129)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(24231|24233|24238|24341)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(24459|27232|30215|30762)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(36351|37993|38263|38269)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(38451|38534|38549|38570)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(38595|38716|38719|38790)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(38809|38830|38858|42909)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(44239|45158|45267|45278)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(45570|45577|45638|45671)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(45844|46571|55411|55419)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(55455|55506|55575|55707)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(55752|55766|55803|55845)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(55884|55931|55954|56037)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(56098|56135|56178|56225)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(56271|56287|58422|58443)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(58511|58606|58634|58676)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(58712|58739|58750|58868)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(58914|59256|59330|59339)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(59356|60592|60758|63926)$
ip as-path access-list SUPERLOOP-IN permit ^38195(_[0-9]+)*_(63937|63956)$
```


- Problem(s) with IRR
 - ❑ No single authority model
 - How do I know if a RR entry is genuine and correct?
 - How do I differentiate between a current and a lapsed entry?
 - ❑ Many RRs
 - If two RRs contain conflicting data, which one do I trust and use?
 - ❑ Incomplete data - Not all resources are registered in an IRR
 - If a route is not in a RR, is the route invalid or is the RR just missing data?
 - ❑ Scaling
 - How do I apply IRR filters to upstream(s)?

- Automating network filters (IRR filters) - **Caution**
 - IRR filters only as good as the correctness of the IRR entries
 - Might require manual overrides and offline verification of resource holders
 - Good idea to use specific sources (`-S` in `bgpq3`, `-s` in `rtconfig`) when generating filters, assuming mirrors are up to date

Back to basics – identify GOOD




- Could we use a digital signature to convey the “*authority to use*”?
 - Using a private key to *sign* the *authority*, and
 - the public key to *validate* the *authority*
- The idea being:
 - If the holder of the resource has the private key, it can sign/authorize the use of the resource

How about trust?



- How do we build a chain of trust in this framework??
 - Follow the resource allocation/delegation hierarchy



- To describe the address allocation using digital certificates

RPKI Chain of Trust




Image 4

RPKI Chain of Trust



- RIRs hold a self-signed root certificate for all the resources they have in the registry
 - they are the *Trust Anchor* for the system
- The root certificate signs the resource certificates for end-holder allocations
 - binds the resources to the end-holders public key
- Any attestations signed by the end-holder's private key, can now be validated up the chain of trust


X.509 Certificates recap (RFC5280)



- Associates a public key with an individual or an organization

VERSION	Version of X.509
SERIAL NUMBER	Uniquely identifies the certificate
SIGNATURE ALGORITHM	Algorithms used by the CA to sign the cert
ISSUER NAME	Id of the CA (that issued the cert)
VALIDITY PERIOD	Cert validity
SUBJECT NAME	Entity associated with the public key
SUBJECT PUBLIC KEY	Owner's public key
EXTENSIONS (ISSUER KEY ID)	Identify the pub key of issuer of the cert
EXTENSIONS (SUBJECT KEY ID)	Extra info (owner of the cert)
EXTENSIONS (CRL)	Extensions (CRL)
CA DIGITAL SIGNATURE	Certifies the binding between the pub key & subject of the cert

RPKI profile ~ Resource Certificates



- RFC 3779 extensions – binds a list of resources (**IPv4/v6, ASN**) to the subject of the certificate (private key holder)
- SIA (subject information access) contains a URI that identifies the publication point of the objects signed by the subject of the cert.

Resource Certificates



- When an address holder **A** (*IRs) allocates resources (IP address/ASN) to **B** (end holders)
 - **A** issues a public-key/resource certificat that binds the allocated address with **B's** public key, all signed by **A's** (CA) private key
 - The resource certificate proves the holder of the private key (**B**) is the legitimate holder of the number resource!

Route Origin Authorization (ROA)



- The resource holder (**B**) can now sign *authorities* using its private key, which can be validated by any third party against the TA
- For routing, the address holder can *authorize* a network (ASN) to *originate* a route into the BGP routing system, and **sign** this permission with its private key (ROA)

Route Origin Authorization (ROA)



- Digitally signed object
 - list of prefixes and the nominated ASN
 - *can be verified cryptographically*

Prefix	203.176.32.0/19
Max-length	/24
Origin ASN	AS17821

- ** *Multiple ROAs can exist for the same prefix*

What can RPKI do?




- Authoritatively proof:
 - Who is the legitimate owner of an address, and
 - Identify which ASNs have the permission from the holder to originate the address
- Hence, can help:
 - prevent **route hijacks/mis-origination/misconfiguration**

RPKI Components



- **Issuing Party** – Internet Registries (*IRs)
 - ❑ Certificate Authority (CA) that issues resource certificates to end-holders
 - ❑ Publishes the objects (ROAs) signed by the resource certificate holders




RPKI Components




- **Relying Party (RP)**

- RPKI Validator tool that gathers data (ROA) from the distributed RPKI repositories
- Validates each entry's signature against the TA to build a "*Validated cache*"



- Hosted model:
 - The RIR (APNIC) runs the CA functions on members' behalf
 - Manage keys, repo, etc.
 - Generate certificates for resource delegations
- Delegated model:
 - Member becomes the CA (delegated by the parent CA) and operates the full RPKI system
 - JPNIC, TWNIC, CNNIC (IDNIC in progress)

Route Origin Validation (ROV)



Route Origin Validation



- Router fetches ROA information from the validated RPKI cache
 - *Crypto stripped by the validator*
- BGP checks each received BGP update against the ROA information and labels them

Validation States



- **Valid**
 - the prefix and AS pair found in the database.
- **Invalid**
 - prefix is found, but origin AS is wrong, OR
 - the prefix length is longer than the maximum length
- **Not Found/Unknown**
 - No valid ROA found
 - Neither valid nor invalid (perhaps not created)

Validation States



ROA {	ASN	Prefix	Max Length
	65420	10.0.0.0/16	18

BGP Routes

ASN	Prefix	RPKI State
65420	10.0.0.0/16	VALID
65420	10.0.128.0/17	VALID
65421	10.0.0.0/16	INVALID
65420	10.0.10.0/24	INVALID
65430	10.0.0.0/8	NOT FOUND

Possible actions - RPKI states

- **Do Nothing** (observe & learn)
- **Tag with BGP communities**
 - If you have downstream customers or run a route server (IXP)
 - Let them decide
 - Ex:
 - **Valid** (ASN:65XX1)
 - **Not Found** (ASN:65XX2)
 - **Invalid** (ASN:65XX3)
- **Modify preference values**
 - *RFC7115 (High, Low, Lowest)*
- **Drop Invalids**
 - ~6K IPv4 routes (might want to check your top flows)
<https://rpki-monitor.antd.nist.gov/index.php?p=3&s=0>

- **AT&T (AS7018) drops Invalids!**

- 11 Feb 2019

AT&T/as7018 now drops invalid prefixes from peers

Jay Borkenhagen [jayb at braeburn.org](mailto:jayb@braeburn.org)

Mon Feb 11 14:53:45 UTC 2019

- Previous message (by thread): [BGP topological vs centralized route reflector](#)
- Next message (by thread): [AT&T/as7018 now drops invalid prefixes from peers](#)
- Messages sorted by: [\[date \]](#) [\[thread \]](#) [\[subject \]](#) [\[author \]](#)

FYI:

The AT&T/as7018 network is now dropping all RPKI-invalid route announcements that we receive from our peers.

We continue to accept invalid route announcements from our customers, at least for now. We are communicating with our customers whose invalid announcements we are propagating, informing them that these routes will be accepted by fewer and fewer networks over time.

Thanks to those of you who are publishing ROAs in the RPKI. We would also like to encourage other networks to join us in taking this step to improve the quality of routing information in the Internet.

Thanks!

Jay B.

ROV – Industry trends



- **Workonline Comms (AS37271) & SEACOM (AS37100) drops Invalids!**
 - 1 and 5 April 2019 (does not use ARIN's TAL)

[apops] RPKI ROV & Dropping of Invalids - Africa

- **To:** apops@apops.net
- **Subject:** [apops] RPKI ROV & Dropping of Invalids - Africa
- **From:** Mark Tinka <mark.tinka@seacom.mu>
- **Date:** Tue, 9 Apr 2019 14:05:03 +0200

Hello all.

In November 2018 during the ZAPF (South Africa Peering Forum) meeting in Cape Town, 3 major ISP's in Africa announced that they would enable RPKI's ROV (Route Origin Validation) and the dropping of Invalid routes as part of an effort to clean up the BGP Internet, on the 1st April, 2019.

On the 1st of April, Workonline Communications (AS37271) enabled ROV and the dropping of Invalid routes. This applies to all eBGP sessions for IPv4 and IPv6.

On the 5th of April, SEACOM (AS37100) enabled ROV and the dropping of Invalid routes. This applies to all eBGP sessions with public peers, private peers and transit providers, both for IPv4 and IPv6. eBGP sessions toward downstream customers will follow in 3 months from now.

We are still standing by for the 3rd ISP to complete their implementation, and we are certain they will communicate with the community accordingly.

Please note that for the legal reasons previously discussed on various fora, neither Workonline Communications nor SEACOM are utilising the ARIN TAL. As a result, any routes covered only by a ROA issued under the ARIN TAL will fall back to a status of Not Found. Unfortunately, this means that ARIN members will not see any improved routing security for their prefixes on our networks until this is resolved. We will each re-evaluate this decision if and when ARIN's policy changes. We are hopeful that this will happen sooner rather than later.

If you interconnect with either of us and may be experiencing any routing issues potentially related to this new policy, please feel free to reach out to:

- noc@workonline.africa
- peering@seacom.mu

Workonline Communications and SEACOM hope that this move encourages the rest of the ISP community around the world to ramp up their deployment of RPKI ROV and dropping of Invalid routes, as we appreciate the work that AT&T have carried out in the same vein.

In the mean time, we are happy to answer any questions you may have about our deployments. Thanks.


Mark Tinka (SEACOM) & Ben Maddison (Workonline Communications).

Are ROAs enough?




- What if I forge the origin AS in the AS path?
 - Would be accepted as “good” – pass origin validation!
- Which means, we need to secure the AS path as well
 - Need AS path validation (per-prefix)
- We can use RPKI certificates for this

AS keys (per-router keys)



AS path validation - BGPsec



- AS1 router crypto signs the message to AS2
 - AS2 router signs the message to AS3 and AS4, encapsulating AS1's message
- A BGPsec speaker validates the received update by checking:
- If there is a ROA that describes the prefix and origin AS
 - If the received AS path can be validated as a chain of signatures (for each AS in the AS path) using the AS keys

So why is AS path validation NOT happening?



- Cannot have partial adoption
 - Cannot jump across non-participating networks
- More HW resources
 - CPU - high crypto overhead to validate signatures, and
 - Memory
 - Updates in BGPsec would be per prefix
 - New attributes carrying signatures and certs/key IDs for every AS in the AS path
- No clarity on how to distribute the collection of certificates required to validate the signatures
- Given so much overhead, can it prevent more than route hijacks?
 - Route leaks?

RPKI Further Reading



X.509 PKI Certificates



Extensions for IP Addresses and ASNs



Resource Public Key Infrastructure

Acknowledgement




- Geoff Huston, APNIC
- Randy Bush, IJJ Labs/Arrcus

Implementation

Create & publish your ROA



- MyApnic portal
 - Resources > RPKI



Here is a detailed guide:

https://www.apnic.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/ROUTE_MANAGEMENT_GUIDE.pdf

Create (publish) your ROA



- Available prefixes for which you can create ROA

BGP Route Validity

Show 10 entries

Search:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Origin AS	Prefix
<input type="checkbox"/>	45192	2001:df2:ee01::/48
<input type="checkbox"/>	45192	202.125.97.0/24
<input type="checkbox"/>	131107	2001:df2:ee00::/48
<input type="checkbox"/>	131107	202.125.96.0/24
<input type="checkbox"/>	135533	61.45.248.0/24
<input type="checkbox"/>	135540	61.45.248.0/24

Showing 1 to 6 of 6 entries

Previous 1 Next

Suggest ROAs

Create (publish) your ROA



ROA Configuration

Origin ASN

131107

Prefix

2001:df2:ee00::/48

Max Length

48

Add

Add & clone

Clear

Show 10 entries

Search: 131107

Origin ASN	Prefix	Max Length	
131107	202.125.96.0/24	24	Delete
131107	2001:df2:ee00::/48	48	Delete

Showing 1 to 2 of 2 entries (filtered from 22 total entries)

Previous 1 Next

Commit

Certified Resources

61.45.248.0/21

202.125.96.0/23

203.30.127.0/24

2001:DF0:A::/48

2001:DF2:EE00::/47

2406:6400::/32

Check your ROA



<http://nong.rand.apnic.net:8080/roas>

Validated ROAs

Validated ROAs from **APNIC RPKI Root**, **ARIN**, **AfriNIC RPKI Root**, **LACNIC RPKI Root**, **RIPE NCC RPKI Root**.



Show 10 entries

Search: 61.45.248.0

ASN	Prefix	Maximum Length	Trust Anchor
135533	61.45.248.0/24	24	APNIC RPKI Root

First

Previous

1

Next

Last

Showing 1 to 1 of 1 entries (filtered from 83,128 total entries)

Check your ROA



```
# whois -h rr.ntt.net 2001:df2:ee00::/48
```

```
route6:      2001:df2:ee00::/48
descr:       RPKI ROA for 2001:df2:ee00::/48
remarks:     This route object represents routing data retrieved from the RPKI
remarks:     The original data can be found here: https://rpki.gin.ntt.net/r/AS131107/2001:df2:ee00::/48
remarks:     This route object is the result of an automated RPKI-to-IRR conversion process.
remarks:     maxLength 48
origin:      AS131107
mnt-by:      MAINT-JOB
changed:     job@ntt.net 20180802
source:      RPKI # Trust Anchor: APNIC RPKI Root
```


Check your ROA



```
# whois -h whois.bgpmon.net 2001:df2:ee00::/48
```

```
Prefix:                2001:df2:ee00::/48
Prefix description:    APNICTRAINING-DC
Country code:         AU
Origin AS:            131107
Origin AS Name:       APNICTRAINING LAB DC
RPKI status:          ROA validation successful
First seen:           2016-06-30
Last seen:            2018-01-21
Seen by #peers:       97
```

```
# whois -h whois.bgpmon.net "--roa 131107 2001:df2:ee00::/48"
```


```
-----
ROA Details
-----
```

```
Origin ASN:            AS131107
Not valid Before:      2016-09-07 02:10:04
Not valid After:       2020-07-30 00:00:00 Expires in 2y190d9h34m23.2000000029802s
Trust Anchor:          rpki.apnic.net
Prefixes:              2001:df2:ee00::/48 (max length /48) 202.125.96.0/24 (max length /24)
```


Check your ROA



<https://bgp.he.net/>

Announced By		
Origin AS	Announcement	Description
<u>AS131107</u>	<u>2001:df2:ee00::/48</u> 	testing

Deploy RPKI Validator



- Many options:

- ❑ RIPE RPKI Validator

<https://www.ripe.net/manage-ips-and-asns/resource-management/certification/tools-and-resources>

- ❑ Dragon Research Labs RPKI Toolkit

<https://github.com/dragonresearch/rpki.net>

- ❑ Routinator

<https://github.com/NLnetLabs/routinator>

- ❑ OctoRPKI & GoRTR (Cloudflare's RPKI toolkit)

<https://github.com/cloudflare/cfrpki>

- Download RPKI Validator

```
# wget https://lirportal.ripe.net/certification/content/static/validator/rpki-validator-app-2.25-dist.tar.gz
```

- Installation

```
tar -zxvf rpki-validator-app-2.25-dist.tar.gz  
cd rpki-validator-app-2.25  
./rpki-validator.sh start
```

- ❑ Need to download ARIN's TAL separately

```
wget https://www.arin.net/resources/rpki/arin-ripevalidator.tal
```

- Move it to “<base-folder>/conf/tal” and restart


```
http://rpki-validator.apnictraining.net:8080/
```

Router Sessions

This table shows all routers connected to this RPKI Validator. Requests and responses are described in [RFC 6810](#). For debugging, please refer to rtr.log.

Remote Address	Connection Time	Last Request Time	Last Request	Last Reply
202.125.96.253:51107	2018-11-12T12:58:34+10:00	2018-11-12T13:55:24+10:00	ResetQuery	EndOfDataPdu

Configured Trust Anchors

Enabled	Trust anchor	Processed Items
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	APNIC RPKI Root	<div>5902</div> <div>0</div> <div>0</div>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ARIN	<div>3351</div> <div>0</div> <div>0</div>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	AfriNIC RPKI Root	<div>545</div> <div>0</div> <div>0</div>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LACNIC RPKI Root	<div>5082</div> <div>0</div> <div>0</div>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RIPE NCC RPKI Root	<div>25408</div> <div>0</div> <div>0</div>

- Installation on Ubuntu 16.04 Xenial

```
https://github.com/dragonresearch/rpki.net/blob/master/doc/quickstart/xenial-rp.md
```

- Installation

- Add the GPG public key

```
# wget -q -O /etc/apt/trusted.gpg.d/rpki.gpg https://download.rpki.net/APTng/apt-gpg-key.gpg
```

- Add the repo to the APT source list

```
# wget -q -O /etc/apt/sources.list.d/rpki.list https://download.rpki.net/APTng/rpki.xenial.list
```

```
-q: quiet (wget output)  
-O: output to <file>
```

```
# apt update
```

```
# apt install rpki-rp
```


Dragon Research - Validator



<http://rpki-dragonresearch.apnictraining.net/rcynic/>

rcynic summary 2017-01-03T01:07:37Z

Overview Repositories Problems All Details

Grand totals for all repositories

	Tainted by stale CRL	Object accepted	Manifest interval overruns certificate	certificate has expired	Tainted by stale manifest	Policy Qualifier CPS
None .cer	28	5981			28	838
None .crl		5948				
None .gbr		3				
None .mft		5948	1	1		834
None .roa		5923				621
Total	28	23803	1	1	28	2293

Overview for repository rpki.apnic.net

	Tainted by stale CRL	Object accepted	Manifest interval over
None .cer		752	
None .crl		748	
None .mft		748	
None .roa		492	
Total		2740	

Current total object counts (distinct URIs)

Repository	.cer	.crl	.gbr	.mft	.roa
ca.rg.net					
ca0.rpki.net					
localcert.ripe.net					
repository.lacnic.net					
rpki-pilot.lab.dtag.de					
rpki-repository.nic.ad.jp					
rpki.afrinic.net					
rpki.apnic.net					
rpki.ripe.net					
Total	0	0	0	0	0

Configuration (IOS)



- Establishing session with the validator

```
router bgp 131107
  bgp rpki server tcp <validator-IP> port <323/8282/3323> refresh 120
```

- Note:
 - ❑ Cisco IOS by default does not include invalid routes for best path selection!
 - ❑ If you don't want to drop invalids, we need explicitly tell BGP (under respective address families)

```
bgp bestpath prefix-validate allow-invalid
```


Configuration (IOS)



- Policies based on validation:

```
route-map ROUTE-VALIDATION permit 10
  match rpki valid
  set local-preference 110
!
route-map ROUTE-VALIDATION permit 20
  match rpki not-found
  set local-preference 100
!
route-map ROUTE-VALIDATION permit 10
  match rpki invalid
  set local-preference 90
!
```


Configuration (IOS)



- Apply the route-map to inbound updates

```
router bgp 131107
!---output omitted-----!
address-family ipv4
  bgp bestpath prefix-validate allow-invalid
  neighbor X.X.X.169 activate
  neighbor X.X.X.169 route-map ROUTE-VALIDATION in
exit-address-family
!
address-family ipv6
  bgp bestpath prefix-validate allow-invalid
  neighbor X6:X6:X6:X6::151 activate
  neighbor X6:X6:X6:X6::151 route-map ROUTE-VALIDATION in
exit-address-family
!
```


Configuration (JunOS)



- Establishing session with the validator

```
routing-options {  
  autonomous-system 131107;  
  validation {  
    group rpki-validator {  
      session <validator-IP> {  
        refresh-time 120;  
        port <323/3323/8282>;  
        local-address X.X.X.253;  
      }  
    }  
  }  
}
```


Configuration (JunOS)



- Define policies based on the validation states

```
policy-options {  
  policy-statement ROUTE-VALIDATION {  
    term valid {  
      from {  
        protocol bgp;  
        validation-database valid;  
      }  
      then {  
        local-preference 110;  
        validation-state valid;  
        accept;  
      }  
    }  
    term invalid {  
      from {  
        protocol bgp;  
        validation-database invalid;  
      }  
      then {  
        local-preference 90;  
        validation-state invalid;  
        accept;  
      }  
    }  
  }  
}
```

```
term unknown {  
  from {  
    protocol bgp;  
    validation-database unknown;  
  }  
  then {  
    local-preference 100;  
    validation-state unknown;  
    accept;  
  }  
}  
}  
}
```


Router Configuration (JunOS)



- Apply the policy to inbound updates

```
protocols {
  bgp {
    group external-peers {
      #output-ommitted
      neighbor X.X.X.1 {
        import ROUTE-VALIDATION;
        family inet {
          unicast;
        }
      }
    }
  }
}

group external-peers-v6 {
  #output-ommitted
  neighbor X6:X6:X6:X6::1 {
    import ROUTE-VALIDATION;
    family inet6 {
      unicast;
    }
  }
}
```


RPKI Verification (IOS)



- IOS has only

```
#sh bgp ipv6 unicast rpki ?  
servers Display RPKI cache server information  
table    Display RPKI table entries
```

```
#sh bgp ipv4 unicast rpki ?  
servers Display RPKI cache server information  
table    Display RPKI table entries
```


RPKI Verification (IOS)



- Check the RTR session

```
#sh bgp ipv4 unicast rpkf servers
```

```
BGP SOVC neighbor is X.X.X.47/323 connected to port 323  
Flags 64, Refresh time is 120, Serial number is 1516477445, Session ID is 8871  
InQ has 0 messages, OutQ has 0 messages, formatted msg 7826  
Session IO flags 3, Session flags 4008  
Neighbor Statistics:  
Prefixes 45661  
Connection attempts: 1  
Connection failures: 0  
Errors sent: 0  
Errors received: 0  
  
Connection state is ESTAB, I/O status: 1, unread input bytes: 0  
Connection is ECN Disabled, Minimum incoming TTL 0, Outgoing TTL 255  
Local host: X.X.X.225, Local port: 29831  
Foreign host: X.X.X.47, Foreign port: 323
```


RPKI Verification (IOS)



- Check the RPKI cache

#sh bgp ipv4 unicast rpki table

37868 BGP sovc network entries using 6058880 bytes of memory
39655 BGP sovc record entries using 1268960 bytes of memory

Network	Maxlen	Origin-AS	Source	Neighbor
1.9.0.0/16	24	4788	0	202.125.96.47/323
1.9.12.0/24	24	65037	0	202.125.96.47/323
1.9.21.0/24	24	24514	0	202.125.96.47/323
1.9.23.0/24	24	65120	0	202.125.96.47/323

#sh bgp ipv6 unicast rpki table

5309 BGP sovc network entries using 976856 bytes of memory
6006 BGP sovc record entries using 192192 bytes of memory

Network	Maxlen	Origin-AS	Source	Neighbor
2001:200::/32	32	2500	0	202.125.96.47/323
2001:200:136::/48	48	9367	0	202.125.96.47/323
2001:200:900::/40	40	7660	0	202.125.96.47/323
2001:200:8000::/35	35	4690	0	202.125.96.47/323

Check routes (IOS)



```
#sh bgp ipv4 unicast 202.144.128.0/19
BGP routing table entry for 202.144.128.0/19, version 3814371
Paths: (1 available, best #1, table default)
  Advertised to update-groups:
    2
  Refresh Epoch 15
  4826 17660
    49.255.232.169 from 49.255.232.169 (114.31.194.12)
      Origin IGP, metric 0, localpref 110, valid, external, best
      Community: 4826:5101 4826:6570 4826:51011 24115:17660
      path 7F50C7CD98C8 RPKI State valid
      rx pathid: 0, tx pathid: 0x0
```

```
#sh bgp ipv6 unicast 2402:7800::/32
BGP routing table entry for 2402:7800::/32, version 1157916
Paths: (1 available, best #1, table default)
  Advertised to update-groups:
    2
  Refresh Epoch 15
  4826
    2402:7800:10:2::151 from 2402:7800:10:2::151 (114.31.194.12)
      Origin IGP, metric 0, localpref 100, valid, external, best
      Community: 4826:1000 4826:2050 4826:2110 4826:2540 4826:2900 4826:5203
      path 7F50B266CBD8 RPKI State not found
      rx pathid: 0, tx pathid: 0x0
```


RPKI Verification (JunOS)



- Check the RPKI cache

```
>show validation session
```

Session	State	Flaps	Uptime	#IPv4/IPv6 records
X.X.X.46	Up	75	09:20:59	40894/6747

```
>show validation session 202.125.96.46
```

Session	State	Flaps	Uptime	#IPv4/IPv6 records
X.X.X.46	Up	75	09:21:18	40894/6747

RPKI Verification (JunOS)



- Check the RPKI cache

```
>show validation database
```

```
RV database for instance master
```

Prefix	Origin-AS	Session	State	Mismatch
1.9.0.0/16-24	4788	202.125.96.46	valid	
1.9.12.0/24-24	65037	202.125.96.46	valid	
1.9.21.0/24-24	24514	202.125.96.46	valid	
1.9.23.0/24-24	65120	202.125.96.46	valid	

2001:200::/32-32	2500	202.125.96.46	valid	
2001:200:136::/48-48	9367	202.125.96.46	valid	
2001:200:900::/40-40	7660	202.125.96.46	valid	
2001:200:8000::/35-35	4690	202.125.96.46	valid	
2001:200:c000::/35-35	23634	202.125.96.46	valid	
2001:200:e000::/35-35	7660	202.125.96.46	valid	

Would have been nice if they had per AF!

RPKI Verification (JunOS)



- Can filter per origin ASN

```
>show validation database origin-autonomous-system 45192
RV database for instance master
```

Prefix	Origin-AS	Session	State	Mismatch
202.125.97.0/24-24	45192	202.125.96.46	valid	
203.176.189.0/24-24	45192	202.125.96.46	valid	
2001:df2:ee01::/48-48	45192	202.125.96.46	valid	

IPv4 records: 2

IPv6 records: 1

IOS should have something similar!

Check routes (JunOS)



```
>show route protocol bgp 202.144.128.0
```

```
inet.0: 693024 destinations, 693024 routes (693022 active, 0 holddown, 2 hidden)
```

```
+ = Active Route, - = Last Active, * = Both
```

```
202.144.128.0/20 *[BGP/170] 1w4d 21:03:04, MED 0, localpref 110, from 202.125.96.254
```

```
AS path: 4826 17660 I, validation-state: valid  
>to 202.125.96.225 via ge-1/1/0.0
```

```
>show route protocol bgp 2001:201::/32
```

```
inet6.0: 93909 destinations, 93910 routes (93909 active, 0 holddown, 0 hidden)
```


```
+ = Active Route, - = Last Active, * = Both
```

```
2001:201::/32 *[BGP/170] 21:18:14, MED 0, localpref 100, from 2001:df2:ee00::1
```

```
AS path: 65332 I, validation-state: unknown  
>to fe80::dab1:90ff:fedc:fd07 via ge-1/1/0.0
```


Propagating RPKI states to iBGP peers

- To avoid every BGP speaker having an RTR session, and
- All BGP speakers have consistent information
 - Relies on extended BGP communities (RFC8097)



- Sender (one that has RTR session) attaches the extended community to Updates, and receiver derives the validation states from it
- Must be enabled on both sender and receiver!

Propagating RPKI states (IOS)



- Sender (one with RTR session)

```
router bgp 131107
  bgp rpki server tcp <validator-IP> port <323/8282/3323> refresh 120
  !---output omitted-----!
  address-family ipv4
    neighbor X.X.X.X activate
    neighbor X.X.X.X send-community both
    neighbor X.X.X.X announce rpki state
  exit-address-family
  !
  address-family ipv6
    neighbor X6:X6:X6:X6::X6 activate
    neighbor X6:X6:X6:X6::X6 send-community both
    neighbor X6:X6:X6:X6::X6 announce rpki state
  exit-address-family
  !
```


Propagating RPKI states (IOS)



- Receiver (iBGP peer)

```
router bgp 131107
!---output omitted-----!
address-family ipv4
  neighbor Y.Y.Y.Y activate
  neighbor Y.Y.Y.Y send-community both
  neighbor Y.Y.Y.Y announce rpki state
exit-address-family
!
address-family ipv6
  neighbor Y6:Y6:Y6:Y6::Y6 activate
  neighbor Y6:Y6:Y6:Y6::Y6 send-community both
  neighbor Y6:Y6:Y6:Y6::Y6 announce rpki state
exit-address-family
!
```

- If `announce rpki state` is not configured for the neighbor, all prefixes received from the iBGP neighbor will be marked VALID!

Propagating RPKI states (JunOS)



- Sender (one with RTR session)

```
policy-statement ROUTE-VALIDATION {  
  term valid {  
    from {  
      protocol bgp;  
      validation-database valid;  
    }  
    then {  
      local-preference 110;  
      validation-state valid;  
      community add origin-validation-state-valid;  
      accept;  
    }  
  }  
  term invalid {  
    from {  
      protocol bgp;  
      validation-database invalid;  
    }  
    then {  
      local-preference 90;  
      validation-state invalid;  
      community add origin-validation-state-invalid;  
      accept;  
    }  
  }  
}
```

```
term unknown {  
  from {  
    protocol bgp;  
    validation-database unknown;  
  }  
  then {  
    local-preference 100;  
    validation-state unknown;  
    community add origin-validation-state-unknown;  
    accept;  
  }  
}
```


Propagating RPKI states (JunOS)



- Receiver (iBGP peer)

```
policy-statement ROUTE-VALIDATION-1 {  
  term valid {  
    from community origin-validation-state-valid;  
    then validation-state valid;  
  }  
  term invalid {  
    from community origin-validation-state-invalid;  
    then validation-state invalid;  
  }  
  term unknown {  
    from community origin-validation-state-unknown;  
    then validation-state unknown;  
  }  
}
```


Propagating RPKI states – potential issues



- IOS as BR, propagating states to JunOS iBGP peers
`unknown iana 4300`
 - Hack:
 - Either act on the states at the border, or
 - Match and tag them with custom communities before propagating

Configuration - Reference Link



- **Cisco**

- https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/td/docs/ios-xml/ios/iproute_bgp/configuration/xe-3s/irg-xe-3s-book/irg-origin-as.pdf

- **Juniper**

- https://www.juniper.net/documentation/en_US/junos/topics/topic-map/bgp-origin-as-validation.html

- **RIPE:**

- <https://www.ripe.net/manage-ips-and-asns/resource-management/certification/router-configuration>

Operational Caveats



- When RTR session goes down, the validation state changes to **Not Found** for all routes after a while
 - **Invalid** => **Not Found**
 - **we need at least two RTR sessions** and/or need careful filtering policies
- During a router reload, do we receive ROAs first or BGP updates first?
 - If BGP update is faster than ROA, will propagate even invalid routes to its iBGP peers

- RIPEstat – prefix/ASN

- <https://stat.ripe.net/data/rpki-validation/data.json?resource=45192&prefix=202.125.96.0/24>

JSON		Raw Data	Headers
Save	Copy	Collapse All	Expand All
status:	"ok"		
server_id:	"app004"		
status_code:	200		
version:	"0.2"		
cached:	false		
see_also:	[]		
time:	"2019-04-09T08:44:30.058267"		
messages:	[]		
data_call_status:	"supported"		
process_time:	34		
build_version:	"2019.4.8.82"		
query_id:	"20190409084430-516c3d0b-4a99-4096-9ed6-2112d5d07d36"		
data:			
validating_roas:			
0:			
origin:	"AS131107"		
source:	"APNIC RPKI Root"		
prefix:	"202.125.96.0/24"		
max_length:	24		
validity:	"invalid_asn"		
status:	"invalid_asn"		
prefix:	"202.125.96.0/24"		
resource:	"45192"		



<https://www.apnic.net/community/security/resource-certification/#routing>

Any questions?

